Primary full-thickness macular holes (FTMHs) most commonly develop in elderly patients without apparent underlying retinal diseases. Recent studies that include OCT imaging have attributed the formation of an idiopathic FTMH to the persistent adherence of the cortical vitreous to the fovea, which causes vitreoretinal separation.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) The resulting traction on the fovea is also thought to contribute to cystoid degeneration and dissolution of inner retinal layers, which may lead to foveal detachment or dehiscence and FTMH formation.\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^6\)

Another proposed mechanism of FTMH involves tangential traction from an adherent posterior hyaloid or from the presence of an epiretinal membrane, which can exert tangential traction on the fovea and generate an FTMH.\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^8\)

The current standard surgical management for primary FTMH is pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) with internal limiting membrane (ILM) peeling and the use of a tamponade agent, which achieves a closure rate of greater than 90%.\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^10\)

If the macular hole does not close with the standard approach, there are several unique strategies that can help, including the inverted ILM flap with or without adjuvant blood products, lens capsule flap transplantation, human amniotic membrane, and autologous retinal transplantation (ART).\(^10\)\(^-\)\(^12\)

Here we present an example of a refractory FTMH successfully closed after multiple failed surgeries, leading to meaningful visual acuity improvement.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

A 60-year-old man with a medical history of diabetes, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, and neovascular glaucoma in the left eye presented for a second opinion. He had developed an FTMH in his only seeing eye—the right eye—3 months prior and had undergone three unsuccessful PPV procedures with ILM peel and gas tamponade. His VA was counting fingers OD. OCT demonstrated an FTMH measuring approximately 1,000 µm with underlying retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) atrophy and no visible posterior hyaloid face (Figure 1). Alternative surgical options were discussed with the patient.

**TREATMENT OPTIONS**

The inverted ILM flap technique involves performing a core vitrectomy and trypan blue staining followed by peeling any epiretinal membrane; ILM forceps are used to peel the membrane in a circular fashion around the macular hole.\(^13\)

While performing the circumferential peeling, the surgeon aims to leave the ILM attached to the edges of the macular hole. The rolled segment of the peeled ILM is massaged gently over the macular hole until the ILM is inverted so the surface that normally faces the vitreous body now faces the RPE.\(^13\) Michalewska et al reported great success with this technique; they stated that the technique was able to achieve a high closure rate in myopic macular holes and led...
to a mean improvement in VA of 6 logMAR lines.13

Lens capsule flap transplantation involves harvesting a piece of the anterior or posterior lens capsule and placing it into the hole to help facilitate hole closure.14 Chen et al found that the macular hole was closed in all 10 eyes undergoing anterior capsular flap transplantation. Comparatively, in the 10 patients who underwent posterior capsular flap transplantation, five holes were closed, three were partially closed, and two were not closed.14 Peng et al recently described the long-term outcomes of lens capsule flap transplantation as a primary treatment for large macular holes and found promising results.15

Consequently, not only can lens capsule flap transplantation be effective in treating refractory macular holes, but it also may help improve the closure rate and visual outcomes when used as the primary treatment for large macular holes.15

Human amniotic membrane use has been proposed as a method to address failed macular hole closure.16 Amniotic membrane has a wide variety of biomedical applications, but its use in the treatment of refractory macular holes has not been studied extensively.17 Several case reports and small series show promising initial results for the use of amniotic membrane in the closure of refractory macular holes.18-21

Several studies have described ART as an effective option for FTMH closure.12,22-25 The surgical technique involves selecting an appropriate graft size, depending on the FTMH defect size, and neurosensory retina harvest site, typically in the midperiphery superior to the superotemporal arcade. Once the harvest site is selected according to surgeon preference in the superior, temporal, or nasal location beyond the arcade, diathermy is used to mark the autograft site. Vertical scissors are used to free the graft tissue, and endolaser barricade is applied in a circular manner around the graft site. PFO is then injected, and the graft is moved toward the macular hole with grasping forceps and positioned with a loop scraper. The patient is positioned supine postoperatively, and PFO is removed 7 to 10 days later. In clinical studies, the ART technique offered a high degree of anatomic closure of refractory macular holes, with closure rates ranging from 87% and 89% to 100%.12,22-25

Several studies have examined the use of adjunctive treatments, such as recombinant TGF-β2 or platelet products such as autologous platelets, platelet-rich plasma, and platelet-derived growth factor.11,26-28 The results of one study examining recombinant TGF-β2 demonstrated no difference between the application of TGF-β2 and placebo in closing FTMHs; however, platelet-derived products have proven to be efficacious as an adjunctive treatment for closure of macular holes.26-28

**SURGICAL OUTCOME**

The patient presented here elected to undergo ART for refractory primary FTMH. He failed to position as instructed, and on postoperative day 1, the patient had a VA of 20/250 with a dislocated graft and a persistent FTMH (Figure 2). The patient was eager to undergo a second attempt, which was performed 1 week later. Imaging obtained 1 day after the second ART procedure showed the hole to be adequately closed by the graft and PFO present (Figure 3).
covered by the graft under PFO tamponade (Figure 3). His VA was 3/200 OD. Repeat OCT demonstrated an adequately positioned graft and closed macular hole (Figure 4).

On postoperative day 13, after staged PFO removal, repeat OCT demonstrated that the macular hole remained closed, and the patient’s VA was 20/70 OD (Figure 5).

One month after the second surgery, the macular hole remained closed, and the patient’s VA had improved to 20/50 OD (Figure 6).

This case demonstrates the effectiveness of ART in patients with refractory macular holes after undergoing PPV with or without ILM peeling. Preoperative discussions are a must to ensure patients understand the importance of adhering to postoperative positioning instructions to minimize the chances of graft dislocation. With appropriate patient selection, ART may be a viable option for closure of refractory FTMHs.
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